

CMOS monolithic APS: Developments and future outlook

Dr Renato TurchettaCMOS Sensor Design Group Leader CCLRC Technology

Outline

¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾ **Future and conclusions**

CMOS Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS)

(Re)-invented at the beginning of '90s: JPL, IMEC, …

- \blacktriangleright **Standard CMOS technology**
- \triangleright **all-in-one detector-connectionreadout =** *Monolithic*
- ¾**small size / greater integration**
- \blacktriangleright **low power consumption**
- \triangleright **radiation resistance**
- ¾**system-level cost**
- \blacktriangleright **Increased functionality**
- \blacktriangleright **increased speed (column- or pixel- parallel processing)** ¾ **random access (Region-of-Interest ROI readout)**

CMOS sensors in digital cameras

CMOS Image Sensor Technology. 1

In general, any CMOS process have different flavours.

The basic one is digital. Then MixedMode/RF, High Voltage, …, CIS (CMOS Image Sensor).

Transistors don't change. Modules added: high value resistors, linear capacitors, …

For CIS:

one/two masks/implants added to improve image quality / reduce leakage current

CMOS Image Sensor Technology. 2

Masks for colour filters, microlenses. Special BEOL for improved oxide transmission

- Stitching for sensors larger than reticle is becoming more common due to push for 35mm film replacement
- 0.18μ m available, 0.13μ m starting this year in different places. Pixel transistors still at 0.35µm equivalent

Epitaxial wafers are generally used: better quality, reduced cross-talk

Thickness: depends on foundry, generally up to 20 µm thick epi layer

¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

Outline

CMOS sensors for radiation detectors

Silicon band-gap of 1.1 eV↔ **cutoff at 1100 nm.**

Photons

Good efficiency up to 'low' energy X-rays. For higher energy (or neutrons), add scintillator or other material.

Need removal of substrate for detection of UV, low energy electrons.

Applications for RAL CMOS MAPS

- ^o Space science: Star Tracker, ESA Solar Orbiter, …
- o Earth Observation: $3 \mu m$ pixel linear sensors, ..
- ^o Particle Physics: ILC, vertex and calorimeter (CALICE), SLHC, …
- ^o Biology: electron microscopy, neuron imaging, optical tweezers,
- ^o Medicine: mammography, panoramic dental

o …

Detecting:

- ¾ Photons: visible, UV, EUV, X-ray (with scintillators), …
- ¾ Charged particles: MIPs, low/medium energy electrons (few keV up to 1 MeV)
- ¾ Voltages (!)

Outline¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

Optical tweezers

- \triangleright Particles are optically trapped and controlled \rightarrow molecular forces at picoNewton level and position resolution \le 1 nm
- \triangleright Applications in medicine, cell biology, DNA studies, physical chemistry, …

C of G movement for all BallFix filesresolution <~ 1 nm0.15 **(State of the art ~ a few nm) Measurement of spatial**

Vanilla **sensor. 1**

- Designed within the UK-MI3 consortium (http://mi3.shef.ac.uk), aiming at ¾ $M\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^3$ developing novel CMOS APS
- ¾Large pixels: $25 \mu m$, design in 0.35 μm CMOS
- ¾Epitaxial layer thickness: choice of 14 and 20 μ m
- \blacktriangleright Backthinned version will be available end of this year
- ¾Format 512x512 + black pixels (520x520 full format)
- \blacktriangleright 3T pixel with flushed reset
- \triangleright Noise $<$ 25 e- rms
- \triangleright Full well capacity > 10⁵ e-
- ¾ $DR \sim 4000 \sim 12$ bits
- \blacktriangleright On-chip SAR ADCs, one for 4 columns. Selectable resolution: 10 or 12. Adjustable range.

Vanilla **sensor. 2**

- ¾Analogue output at 4.5 MHz
- ¾Row and column address decoder
- ¾*Full frame readout*: Frame rate > 100 fps.
- ¾ *Region-of-interest readout*: Fully programmable: any shape, any size Example speed: six 6x6 regions of interest @ 20k fps \blacktriangleright Two-sided buttable for 2x2 mosaic
- ¾Design for backthinning. Detecting capability not limited to visible light! It will be also tested for UV and electrons
- ¾Data rate: > 40 MByte/sec in full frame mode
- ¾ Readout based around off-the-shelf FPGA development boards (VirtexII-Pro) plus optical link (Gbit at present but already testing 10Gbit)

Vanilla **sensor.**

 \blacktriangleright Sensor fully functional

¾ Already region-ofinterest readout already tested at over 20 k fps

¾ Characterisation just started

 \triangleright Preliminary results consistent with specifications

Outline

¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

Medical X-ray detection

Project I-ImaS (http://www.i-imas.ucl.ac.uk) funded by EU. Led by prof. R. Speller (University College London, UCL) Application: mammography, dental (panoramic and cephalography) Scanning system with real-time data analysis to optimised dose uptake Step-and-shoot, and double scan Time for 1 image: a few seconds. Large pixels: 32 µm Image area: 18cmx24cm covered by several sensors in several steps Image size: $5120x7680 = 40$ Mpixel/image @ 14 bits, ~70MBytes Integration time per pixel: 10 ms

1½D CMOS sensor coupled to scintillator (structured CsI)

Expected results

Simulated mammography scan that uses 18% lower dose (image courtesy of Prof. R.Speller - UCL)

1½D CMOS sensor

¾Designed in 0.35 µm CMOS

 \blacktriangleright 512*32 pixels at 32 μ m pitch plus 4 rows and columns on both sides for edge effects

 \geq 200,000 e full well

 \geq 33 to 48 e ENC depending on the pixel reset technique used

 \triangleright more than 72dB S/N ratio at full well (equivalent to 12 bit dyn. range)

 \triangleright possible to use hard, soft or flushed reset schemes

¾ 14 bit digital output; one 14-bit SAR ADC every 32 channel

 \geq 20 MHz internal clock; 40 MHz digital data rate

 \triangleright data throughput: 40MHz \cdot 7bit = 280Mbit/s = 35 MB/sec

1½D CMOS sensor. Architecture.

1 channel ↔32x32 pixel

14 bit successive approximation ADC 4 MSB on resistor string 20 MHz clock 16 cycles per conversion \leftrightarrow 1.25 MHz conversion rate

Photon Transfer Curve (PTC)

Basic tool for imaging sensors

At high level of illumination, the noise is dominated by the intrinsic source noise, i.e. photon shot noise

If N_{ph} photons are sensed, the output S is $S = G N_{\text{ph}}$, where G is the gain, i.e. the response of the sensor to one input photon The distribution of N_{ph} is Poisson with variance N_{ph} The variance σ of the output signal is then ^σ **= G2×Nph**

The ratio between the variance and the output signal is

$$
R = \frac{G^2 \times N_{ph}}{G \times N_{ph}} = G
$$

Preliminary measurements

Test beam at Elettra synchrotron, Trieste, Italy Unstructured CsI scintillator, non optimum for the application

PTC with scintillator

First preliminary images

Test at University College London (UCL). CsI scintillator on MAPS.

Images stitched with the correct overlap, corrected for dead pixel lines and, where possible, corrected for intensity variations both across the sensor and down the image (scan position). They were not fully corrected for dark noise nor using a true white field image, and so the final systems images will be superior to these.

Image of a tooth. Taken at 70 kVp, 6 mA

Image of the sensor PCB. Taken at 75 kVp, 4 mA

Outline¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

APS for Neuroscience (NAPS)

Goal of the project: study the spiking rate of a large number of neurons in parallel, each neuron being located with good spatial resolution across the surface of the visual cortex and with some depth discrimination.

Project involving the Universities of Birmingham, Oxford, Cambridge and Berkeley (US) and RAL.

FIGURE 13-5

Time course of four events related to synaptic transmission. An action potential in the presynaptic cell (1) causes presynaptic Ca^{2+} channels to open and a Ca^{2+} current (2) to flow into the terminal leading to the release of neurotransmitter from the terminal. (Note that the Ca^{2+} current is turned on late during the falling phase of the presynaptic action potential.) The postsynaptic response to the transmitter (EPSP) begins soon afterward (3), and, if sufficiently large, will trigger an action potential in the postsynaptic cell (4). (Adapted from Llinás, 1982.)

The detecting principle

Present techniques:

- \triangleright Electrical: thin wires inserted in cortex
- ¾ Imaging: NMR and fluorescence. Spatial and time resolution not good enough

What we propose:

Modified APS for contact imaging

Proof of principle

Small test structure:

Small 8x8 test structure designed in 0.25 μ m CIS. 15 μ m pitch.

Detection of voltages. Good linearity.

What is next:

NAPS sensor designed for this application: 256x192 25-µm pixels, 4 differential ports, each $@>~10MHz$, 50-100 µs frame rate, ROI, 12 bit resolution. Up to 160 MByte/sec for minutes/hours acquisition.

Outline¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

OPIC (On-Pixel Intelligent CMOS Sensor)

- •In-pixel ADC
- •In-pixel TDC
- •Data sparsification

Test structure. Proof-of-principle. 3 arrays with different designs. Each 64x72 pixels @ 30 µm pitch Fabricated in 0.25 µm CMOS logic technology

THE TERM

Modes of operation (experimental).

In-pixel ADC Timing mode capture In-pixel thresholding

International Linear Collider

International Linear Collider: CMOS sensors proposed for Vertex and

Electromagnetic calorimeter.

Radiation hardness: moderate (~100s kRad, 10¹² n/cm²)

Large area (stitched) sensor required in both cases.

Total covered area: **~m²** for Vertex (FAPS design), a few **103 m2** for Electromagnetic

calorimeter

Calorimeter for the Linear Collider Experiment (CALICE)

UK collaboration (Birmingham, Cambridge, Imperial College, Manchester,

RAL, RHUL and UCL) is developping also a MAPS version of the

calorimeter. Sensor designed by RAL.

Present specification: large (50x50 µ**m) pixels, measurement of hit timing with BCO precision (>~ 150 ns).**

Present architecture: groups of pixels with 'common' digital area. Device

simulation helps layout definition.

Designed in an advanced 0.18 µ**m CIS process, fully stitchable.**

R&D just started. First test structures expected spring 2007.

Outline ¾ **Introduction.** ¾ **CMOS image sensors for science Visible light UV X-ray Charged particles Voltages** ¾**Future and conclusions**

Future

CMOS Image Sensors (CIS) technology become more and more widespread. Continuous improvement in noise: reduced leakage current, in-pixel double correlated sampling (4T+pinned diodes)

More foundries proposing stitching

Continuous improvement in noise: reset noise reduction by design. New architectures and ways of modelling the reset noise.

Single figure (< 10 e- rms) noise achieved, approaching sub-electron noise (one group already declares it for a linear, non-cooled sensor!)

Conclusion

CMOS Image Sensors can be used to detect photons from IR down to low energy X-rays (direct detection), X-rays (indirect detection) and charged particles (direct detection with 100% efficiency) … and voltages

Demonstrators built

For some applications, large sensors already built

Working towards delivery of CMOS Image Sensors-based for scientific instruments for space-science, particle physics and biomedical applications

And last but not least …

Acknowledgements

N. Allinson (Sheffield U) + MI3 collaboration R. Speller (UCL) + I-ImaS collaboration The CMOS Sensor Design Group: A. Fant, A. Clark, J. Crooks, P. Gąsiorek, N. Guerrini,

- Other designers and device simulation expert: M. Prydderch, G. Villani
- DAQ developers: R. Halsall, M. Key-Charriere, S. Martin
- RAL scientists: N. Waltham, M. Towrie, A. Ward, M. Pollard, M. Tyndel
- Colleagues in Universities: prof G. Hall, P. Dauncey, J. Jones, M. Noy, A. M. Magnan (Imperial College)
- P. Allport (Liverpool University)
- N. Watson, P. Willmore (Birmingham University)
- I. Thomson (Oxford University)
- D. Tolhurst (Cambridge University)
- B. Willmore (Berkeley University)
- + ... all the others I forgot to mention!