

Using Pandora PFA on $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z + H$ with LDC01Sc Getting ready to compare with MAPS

Jamie Ballin¹ & Marcel Stanitzki²

1: HEP, Imperial College, London — j.ballin06@ic.ac.uk 2: PPD, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, — M.M.Stanitzki@rl.ac.uk

MAPS Meeting, RAL, 19th June 2007

프 > * 프 > -

Outline











(신문) (신문)

Testing Pandora

Preheat oven to 180 °C:

- Compile Pandora having downloaded it out of CVS.
- ② Calibrate it on photons, antineutrons and everything else
- Recalibrate on the $Z \rightarrow uds$ pole
- Run on events of interest

Will consider the channel $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z + H$ where *H* is invisible (set $m_H = 140 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) and *Z* decays to two jets.

Also using LDC01Sc.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Calibration is crucial

Interested in jets \rightarrow use Z pole





Foil 4 / 14

注入 不注入

Calibration for LDC01Sc Use the following in the Pandora steering file

Obtained by hand, optimising for Z jets...

<parameter name="HCALMIPcalibration" type="float">42.5 </parameter>
<parameter name="ECALThreshold" type="float">0.5 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALThreshold" type="float">0.03 </parameter>
<parameter name="ECALEMMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.0058 </parameter>
<parameter name="ECALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.005 </parameter>
<parameter name="ECALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.005 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALEMMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.003 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.003 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.003 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.034 </parameter>
<parameter name="HCALHadMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.034 </parameter>
</parameter name="HCALHAMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.034 </parameter>
</parameter name="HCALHAMIPTOGEV" type="float">0.001 </parameter>



Foil 5 / 14

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Overview Preparation Results

Reconstructed Z mass





Figure: Pandora's reconstructed Z mass, with a comparison with the Monte Carlo Z mass.

Ballin & Stanitzki, Preliminary PFA results RAL, June 2007

Foil 6 / 14

Overview Preparation Results

Vanilla H mass reconstruction

 $m_H = \sqrt{(E_{\rm CM}^2 - E_{\rm event})^2 - \rho_{\rm event}^2}$



Figure: Reconstructed *H* masses using two different methods.



Ballin & Stanitzki, Preliminary PFA results RAL, June 2007

Foil 7 / 14

≣⇒

Transverse event thrust and alpha Some definitions

Define transverse thrust:

$$T_{\rm trans} = \frac{\sum_i \boldsymbol{\rho}_{z,i}}{\sum_i |\mathbf{p}_i|} \tag{1}$$

Define α :

$$\alpha = \frac{m_Z}{m_{\text{event}}}.$$
 (2)

Corrected H mass:

$$m'_{H} = \sqrt{(E_{\rm CM} - \alpha E_{\rm event})^2 - (\alpha p_{\rm event})^2}.$$
 (3)

Foil 8 / 14

⇒ < ⇒ >

Transverse event thrust and alpha Relationships



Figure: Exploring the relationship between transverse event thrust, α and the reconstructed *Z* mass.

Ballin & Stanitzki, Preliminary PFA results RAL, June 2007

Foil 9 / 14

∃ → < ∃ →</p>

Transverse event thrust and alpha

Improvement on the H mass calculation



(a) The *H* mass when the α scaling variable is applied.



(b) A 2D Histogram of the H mass with α scaling, and the α value.



▶ < Ξ





Consistent poor fits, α correction improves width of Gaussian fit on *H* mass from 42 GeV to 30 GeV.



Foil 11 / 14

∃ → < ∃ →</p>

First look at photons with MAPS Hot off the press!





- Calibration is a pain.
- We can reconstruct Z mass well ⇒ do a good job of getting the H mass.
- Dominated by detector resolution; using *α* scaling works well.
- Need more investigations regarding Pandora's performance i.t.o. event thrust.
- We've started work on MAPS!



∃ > < ∃ >

Where next...

- Improve the handwaved Gaussian fits with Lorentzians where appropriate.
- Automate the calibration.
- Turn on MAPS and check we do as well, if not better(!)
- Optimise Pandora for MAPS.



프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨