



### MAPS for a "Tera-Pixel" ECAL at the International Linear Collider

### J.P. Crooks

Y. Mikami, O. Miller, V. Rajovic, N.K. Watson, J.A. Wilson University of Birmingham J.A. Ballin, P.D. Dauncey, A.-M. Magnan, M. Noy Imperial College London J.P. Crooks, B. Levin, M.Lynch, M. Stanitzki, K.D. Stefanov, R. Turchetta, M. Tyndel, E.G. Villani STFC-Rutherford Appleton Laboratory







Science & Technology Facilities Council Particle Physics Department







## Introduction

# HCAL ECAL × 5000 (4200 Module ECAL 1700 (1500) 5 Hz 2625 bunches 1ms 199 ms

#### Buffer data Triggerless data readout

#### SiW ECAL for ILC

- · 30 layers silicon & tungsten
- Prove Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS) as a viable solution for the silicon!

#### Machine operation

- 189ns min bunch spacing
- · 199ms between bunch trains for readout

#### **Sensor Specification**

- Sensitive to MIP signal
- Binary readout from 50micron pixels
- Store timestamp & location of "hits"
- Noise rate 10<sup>-6</sup>
- Design to hold data for 8k bunch crossings before readout





## **INMAPS** Process

- Standard 0.18 micron CMOS
  - 6 metal layers
  - Analog & Digital VDD @ 1.8v
  - 12 micron epitaxial layer
  - Additional module: Deep P-Well
    - Developed by foundry for this project
    - Added beneath all active circuits in the pixel
    - Should reflect charge, preventing unwanted loss in charge collection efficiency
  - Device simulations show conservation of charge
  - Test chip processing variants
    - Sample parts were manufactured with/without deep p-well for comparison







### TCAD model of 3x3 pixels

- Charge injected in 21 reference points
- Response at each diode in 3x3 pixels recorded
  - Charge collected
  - Collection time (to 90%)
- Profile mirrored to create full
  150x150um terrain

### **Profile F; through cell**



## **Device Simulations**

#### Profile B; through cell







### **Pixel Architectures**

#### preShape

- Gain 94uV/e
- Noise 23e-
- Power 8.9uW
- 150ns "hit" pulse wired to row logic
- Shaped pulses return to baseline





Sig@1200e

Sig@1600e

### preSample

- Gain 440uV/e
- Noise 22e-
- Power 9.7uW
- 150ns "hit" pulse wired to row logic
- Per-pixel selfreset logic







## **Pixel Layouts**



#### preShape Pixel

- 4 diodes
- 160 transistors
- 27 unit capacitors
- Configuration SRAM
  - Mask
  - Comparator trim (4 bits)
- 2 variants: subtle changes to capacitors

### preSample Pixel

- · 4 diodes
- 189 transistors
- 34 unit capacitors
- 1 resistor (4Mohm)
- Configuration SRAM
  - Mask
  - Comparator trim (4 bits)
- 2 variants: subtle changes to capacitors







## **Test Chip Architecture**

- 8.2 million transistors
- 28224 pixels; 50 microns; 4 variants ~
- Sensitive area 79.4mm2
  - of which 11.1% "dead" (logic)
  - Four columns of logic + SRAM
    - Logic columns serve 42 pixels
    - Record hit locations & timestamps
    - Local SRAM
    - Data readout
      - Slow (<5Mhz)
      - Current sense amplifiers
      - Column multiplex
      - 30 bit parallel data output







## Sensor Testing: Overview

### Test pixels

- preSample pixel variant
- Analog output nodes
- Fe55 stimulus
- IR laser stimulus

### Single pixel in array

- Per pixel masks
- Fe55 stimulus
- Laser Stimulus

### Full pixel array

- preShape (quad0/1)
- Pedestals & trim adjustment
- Gain uniformity
- Crosstalk
- Beam test







### Test pixels: Laser Stimulus

- 1064nm pulsed laser
- 2x2um square area of illumination at focal point
  - · Simulates point-charge deposit in pixel
- Illuminate back of sensor
- Silicon is ~transparent at this  $\lambda$
- Adjust focus to hit the EPI layer
  - Account for refractive index!
- Scan XY position to 1um accuracy
- Test pixels & laser run asynchronously
- Oscilloscope triggered by laser sync pulse shows analog response from test pixel
- Measure (histogram)
  - · Amplitude
  - Time delay
    - = (System Delay) + (charge collection)









### Test pixels: Laser Stimulus

- First look (Nov '07)
  - without / with DPW
  - 4x4um spot, 5um steps
  - Poor focussing!
  - Recent scans

•

- Optimised Focus
- · 2x2um spot, 2um steps





Y posistion (microns) [X position fixed ~pixel diodes]

- Automated laser profile of full test pixel area begins...
  - With/without DPW
  - Different depths epi





### Test pixels: Laser Stimulus







## Evaluating single pixel performance

- Binary readout from pixels in the array
  - Can mask individual pixels
- Evaluated with a threshold scan...
  - Record #hits for a given threshold setting
  - 1 threshold unit ~0.4mV
  - · Low thresholds  $\rightarrow$  noise hits
  - Max #hits defined by memory limit (=19 per row)
  - Comparator is edge-triggered
    - Very small or negative thresholds don't trigger comparator
  - Signal should generate hits at higher thresholds than the noise
  - No hits expected for very high thresholds



Single active pixel with/without laser firing





## Single Pixel in Array: Laser/Alignment

### $\cdot$ Use laser for alignment

- $\cdot$  Back of sensor has no features for orientation
- Mounting is not necessarily square to <1um</li>
- $\cdot$  Laser position scans in X & Y
- · Threshold scan technique
- · Estimate signal magnitude from drop-off
  - · By eye
  - By function fit?





٠



### Single Pixel in Array: Laser Stimulus

- Amplitude results
  - With/without deep pwell
  - · Compare
    - Simulations "GDS"
    - Measurements "Real"



Profile B; through cell







### Single Pixel in Array: <sup>55</sup>Fe Source

- <sup>55</sup>Fe gives 5.9keV photon
  - Deposits all energy in ~1 $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup> volume in silicon; 1640e<sup>-</sup>
  - Sometimes will deposit maximum energy in a single diode and no charge will diffuse
    → absolute calibration!
- Binary readout from pixel array
  - Need to differentiate distribution to get signal peak in threshold units (TU)
  - Differential approximation







### Array of PreShape Pixels: Pedestals







### Array of PreShape Pixels: Gains

- Use laser to inject fixed-intensity signal into many pixels
- Relative position should be equivalent for each pixel scanned
- Adjust/trim for known pixel pedestals

- Gain uniform to 12%
- Quad1 ~40% more gain than Quad0
- Quad1 ~20% better S/N than Quad0







### Array of PreShape Pixels: Beam Test

#### Took advantage of beam-test opportunity

- very soon after receiving sensors
- before long shut-down at DESY
- Proof of 4-sensor system
- Did see particles in multiple layers 😊
- Sensor pedestals were not trimmed at this time
  - Little usable data 읭











## Immediate Future

#### Characterisation of v1.0 is still ongoing

- Automated laser tests
- Cosmics stack
- Version 1.1 due back late September
  - One pixel variant selected (preShape quad1)
  - Upgrade trim adjustment from 4bits to 6bits
  - · Compatible format: size, pins, pcb, daq etc.
  - Minor bugs fixed
  - Additional test pixels & devices

Version 1.1 Full Characterisation

- Automated laser tests
  - test pixels
  - array
- Source tests
- Cosmic tests
- Beam test early 2009
  - With trims this time!



•



### Long Term future

- Version 2 is part of a proposal submitted last week!
  - Larger sensor 25x25mm
  - Tiled to create a 125x125mm layer of pixels
    - Minimum dead space between sensors
    - Wire bonded through PCB holes
    - Stacked in 16 layers to ultimately prove the Digital ECAL concept

	•••••			
•••••••••••••••••••	1 a -			
	11			







## Conclusions

- First Sensor
  - Successful operation of highly complex pixels
    - See  $\alpha \& \beta$  radioactive sources
    - See laser injection of charge
    - See beam particles (albeit with low efficiency at the time)
  - Proved viability of the Deep P-Well for applying MAPS to particle physics
  - Selected a preferred pixel design to take forward
  - Some minor bugs
    - Low level data corruption
    - Some coupling between power domains generating false hits
  - Revised Sensor
    - Uniform array of improved pixels
    - Full characterisation ready to go!
  - Exciting future
    - Prove "Digital ECAL" concept using CMOS sensors